

Order conditions for sampling the invariant measure of ergodic SDEs in \mathbb{R}^d or on manifolds

Adrien Laurent, Gilles Vilmart

University of Geneva

Adrien.Laurent@unige.ch, Gilles.Vilmart@unige.ch

Abstract

W^E derive a new methodology for the construction of high order integrators for sampling the invariant measure of ergodic stochastic differential equations subjected to a scalar constraint. For a class of Runge-Kutta type methods, we derive the conditions for the order two of accuracy. Numerical experiments in dimension 3 on the sphere and the torus confirm the theoretical findings. We also discuss a possible extension of the exotic aromatic B-series formalism.

2. High order Runge-Kutta methods for overdamped Langevin in \mathbb{R}^d W^E consider Runge-Kutta methods of the form

$$Y_i = X_n + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} f(Y_j) + \sigma \sqrt{h} d_i \xi_n,$$

$$X_{n+1} = Y_s.$$

Theorem 2. [3] (Order conditions in \mathbb{R}^d)

A Runge-Kutta method has weak order 1 if $c_s = 1$. In addition, it has order 2 for the invariant measure if the

Example.

The operator \mathcal{L} in (1.3) can be rewritten with exotic aromatic forests as

$$\mathcal{L}\phi = F\Big(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{O}$$

In \mathbb{R}^d , we have g = 0, so that the trees with white nodes vanish and \mathcal{L} is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\phi = F\left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{O}\right)(\phi) = \phi' f + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\Delta\phi.$$

The operator A_1 can be expressed with exotic aromatic

1. Long time approximation of constrained ergodic SDEs

W^E consider (Stratonovich) stochastic differential equa-tions in \mathbb{R}^d , subjected to a smooth scalar constraint $\zeta = 0$, that have the form

 $dX = \prod_{\mathcal{M}} (X) f(X) dt + \prod_{\mathcal{M}} (X) \Sigma(X) \circ dW,$ (1.1)where $X(0) = X_0 \in \mathcal{M}, \zeta \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \zeta(x) = 0\}$ is a compact smooth manifold or $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is the orthogonal projection on the tangent bundle of \mathcal{M} , $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are smooth and W is a standard *d*-dimensional Brownian motion.

Equations of the form (1.1) appear naturally when studying conservative SDEs, that is, SDEs possessing an invariant *H*. The solution of conservative SDEs are subjected to the constraint $\zeta = 0$ with $\zeta = H - H(X_0)$. The major motivation of this work appears in computational problems in molecular dynamics with the constrained overdamped Langevin equation (obtained in the particular case where $\Sigma = \sigma I_d$)

> $dX = \prod_{\mathcal{M}} (X) f(X) dt + \sigma \prod_{\mathcal{M}} (X) \circ dW,$ (1.2)

with $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $f = -\nabla V$ and $V \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. The overdamped Langevin equation is widely used to model the motion of a set of particles subjected to a potential V in a high friction regime. The possible constraints can be induced for example by strong covalent bonds between atoms, or fixed angles in molecules. We refer to [5] for further details on applications and numerical methods for sampling confollowing 2 conditions are satisfied:

 $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{sj}c_j = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{sj}d_j^2 = 2\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{sj}d_j - \frac{1}{2}.$

Remark. We find 3 order conditions for weak order 2. There are 11 conditions for the weak order 3 and 6 conditions for the invariant measure.

Example.

The θ -method for solving (1.2) is

 $X_{n+1} = X_n + h(1-\theta)f(X_n) + h\theta f(X_{n+1}) + \sigma\sqrt{h}\xi_n.$ (2.1)

It has order 2 for the invariant measure if $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and order 1 else.

3. High order Runge-Kutta methods for constrained overdamped Langevin

W^E consider the following class of Runge-Kutta integra-tors $Y_i = X_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} f(Y_j) + \sigma \sqrt{h} d_i \xi_n + \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^{s} \widehat{a}_{ij} g(Y_j),$ $\zeta(Y_i) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \delta_i = 1,$ (3.1) $X_{n+1} = Y_s,$ where $\delta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \hat{a}_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\delta_s = 1$. **Proposition.** If $c_s = d_s = 1$ and $\sum \hat{a}_{sj} d_j = \sum \hat{a}_{sj} \delta_j d_j$, the method is consistent, that is, $A_0 = \mathcal{L}$.

forests.

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \phi = F\left(\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} -\frac{1}{4} \stackrel{\bullet}{\smile} +\frac{1}{2} \sum \widehat{a}_{sj} d_j \stackrel{\bullet}{\twoheadrightarrow} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} -\frac{1}{2} \stackrel{\bullet}{\checkmark} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longleftarrow} +\dots\right) (\phi)$$

For the θ -method (2.1) in \mathbb{R}^d , we find

 $\mathcal{A}_1 = F\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} + \theta + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$

To compute the order conditions for the invariant measure, we apply multiple integrations by parts to get

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{A}_j \phi) \rho_{\infty} d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{A}_j^0 \phi) \rho_{\infty} d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{0}\phi$ is a differential operator of order 1 on ϕ . Lemma 4. The process of integration by parts can be rewritten with exotic aromatic forests as a straightforward procedure on graphs. Moreover $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{0}\phi$ can be expressed with exotic aromatic forests.

For the θ -method (2.1) in \mathbb{R}^d , we find

$$\mathcal{A}_1^0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \theta\right) F\left(\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} + \frac{1}{2}\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\bullet}\right).$$

5. Numerical experiments

realize Y solving numerically the order conditions of Theorem 3, D we find a new Runge-Kutta method that has order 2 for the invariant measure. In addition, the method is explicit in and uses only 3 evaluations of f per step. To check the numerical order 2 for the invariant measure of this integrator, we apply it on the sphere (with $\zeta(x) =$ $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 1)/2$) and the torus (with $\zeta(x) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_3^2)$

strained SDEs.

Weak error: A numerical scheme $(X_n)_n$ is said to have local weak order p if for every test function ϕ ,

 $|\mathbb{E}[\phi(X_1)|X_0 = x] - \mathbb{E}[\phi(X(h))|X(0) = x]| \le C(x,\phi)h^{p+1}.$

The backward Kolmogorov equation yields

 $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X(h))|X(0) = x] = \phi(x) + h\mathcal{L}\phi(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}\mathcal{L}^2\phi(x) + \dots$

where \mathcal{L} is the generator of (1.1) and is given by

 $\mathcal{L}\phi = \phi' f - G^{-1}(g, f)\phi' g - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}G^{-1}\operatorname{div}(g)\phi'(g) \qquad (1.3)$ $+ \frac{\sigma^2}{2}G^{-2}(g, g'g)\phi'(g) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\Delta\phi - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}G^{-1}\phi''(g, g),$

where $g = \nabla \zeta$ and $G = g^T g$. We compare with the Talay-Tubaro expansion of the integrator

 $\mathbb{E}[\phi(X_1)|X_0=x] = \phi(x) + h\mathcal{A}_0\phi(x) + h^2\mathcal{A}_1\phi(x) + \dots$

Then the scheme has weak order p if $\forall j \leq p$, $\mathcal{L}^j/j! = \mathcal{A}_{j-1}$.

Ergodicity property: there exists a probability density ρ_{∞} such that

$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \phi(X(s)) ds = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(y) \rho_{\infty}(y) d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}(y) \quad a.s.$$

where $d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the canonical measure on \mathcal{M} induced by the

Example.

The simplest numerical scheme for approximating ergodic integrals on manifolds is the Euler method

 $X_{n+1} = X_n + hf(X_n) + \sigma\sqrt{h}\xi_n + \lambda g(X_{n+1}),$ (3.2) $\zeta(X_{n+1}) = 0.$

It is a Runge-Kutta method of weak order 1 with the coefficients given by the following Butcher tableau.

 $c |A| \delta |\widehat{A}| d = \frac{0 |0 |0 |0 |0 |0 |0 |0}{1 |1 |0 |1 |0 |1 |1}$

Theorem 3. [4] (Order conditions on the manifold \mathcal{M})

We consider a consistent ergodic Runge-Kutta method of the form (3.1) applied to solve (1.2), then the integrator has order 2 for the invariant measure if the coefficients of the method satisfy 23 order conditions:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \widehat{a}_{sj} d_j = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{sj} d_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{sj} c_j = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{sj} d_j - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \dots$$

Remark. We find 25 Runge-Kutta order conditions for weak order 2. These conditions are detailed in [4].

4. Exotic aromatic B-series for the computation of order conditions

TREES have proven to be useful for the study and the construction of high order integrators: Butcher, 1972 and Hairer, Wanner, 1974 (See also Hairer, Wanner, Lu-

 $R^{2} - r^{2})^{2} - 4R^{2}(x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2}), R = 3 \text{ and } r = 1$) in dimension d = 3and compare it with the Euler scheme (3.2). We plot the error for the invariant measure versus different timesteps h. In both cases, we observe order 1 for the Euler scheme (3.2) and order 2 for the new Runge-Kutta scheme.



Figure 1: A trajectory of the order 2 method (left) and the convergence curve for the sphere for the invariant measure (right) with $V(x) = 25(1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2)$, $\phi(x) = x_3^2$ and $M = 10^7$ trajectories



Figure 2: A trajectory of the order 2 method (left) and the convergence curve for the torus for the invariant measure

euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^d . We call error of the invariant measure the quantity

 $e(\phi, h) = \left| \lim_{N \to +\infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi(X_n) - \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi \rho_{\infty} d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}} \right|.$

The scheme is of order p for the invariant measure if for every test function ϕ , $e(\phi, h) \leq C(x, \phi)h^p$.

Theorem 1. [3] (related work in \mathbb{R}^d : [2, 1]) Under technical assumptions, if $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{*}\rho_{\infty} = 0$ (in $L^{2}(d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}})$) for $j = 1, \ldots p - 1$, i.e. for every test function ϕ ,

 $\int_{\mathcal{M}} (\mathcal{A}_j \phi) \rho_{\infty} d\sigma_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \qquad j = 1, \dots p - 1,$

then the scheme has order p for the invariant measure.

bich, 2006 and [3]).

We rewrite our differentials with partitioned aromatic forests (see Chartier, Murua, 2007 and Bogfjellmo, 2015). We denote $F(\gamma)(\phi)$ the elementary differential of a tree γ .

> $F(\mathbf{\bullet})(\phi) = \phi' f$ $F(\mathring{\circ}\mathbf{\bullet})(\phi) = \sigma^2 G^{-1} \operatorname{div}(g) \phi' g$ $F(\mathbf{\hat{\phi}})(\phi) = \sigma^2 G^{-1} \phi''(g, g'f)$

We also introduce lianas and non-oriented edges in our forests and call these exotic aromatic forests.

$$F(\textcircled{\circ})(\phi) = \sum_{i} \phi''(e_{i}, e_{i}) = \Delta\phi$$

$$F(\textcircled{\circ})(\phi) = \sigma^{4}G^{-2}(g, g'g) \sum_{i} \phi''(g'(e_{i}), e_{i})$$

$$F(\textcircled{\circ})(\phi) = \sigma^{2}G^{-1}(g, f)(\Delta\phi)'g$$

(right) with $V(x) = 25(x_3 - r)^2$, $\phi(x) = x_3^2$ and $M = 10^7$ trajectories

References

- [1] A. Abdulle, G. Vilmart, and K. C. Zygalakis. High order numerical approximation of the invariant measure of ergodic SDEs. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 52(4):16001622, 2014.
- [2] A. Debussche and E. Faou. Weak backward error analysis for SDEs. SIAM J. *Numer.Anal.*, 50(3):17351752, 2012.

[3] A. Laurent and G. Vilmart. Exotic aromatic B-series for the study of long time integrators for a class of ergodic SDEs. Math. Comp., 89(321):169202, 2020.

[4] A. Laurent and G. Vilmart. Order conditions for sampling the invariant measure of ergodic stochastic differential equations on manifolds. In preparation, 2020.

[5] T. Lelièvre, M. Rousset, and G. Stoltz. *Free energy computations*. Imperial College Press, London, 2010. A mathematical perspective.